Periodic wave packet reconstruction in truncated tight-binding lattices

Stefano Longh[i*](#page-3-0)

Dipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Milano, Piazza L. da Vinci 32, I-20133 Milano, Italy (Received 14 June 2010; published 27 July 2010)

A class of truncated tight-binding Hermitian and non-Hermitian lattices with commensurate energy levels, showing periodic reconstruction of the wave packet, is presented. Examples include exact Bloch oscillations on a finite lattice, periodic wave packet dynamics in non-Hermtian lattices with a complex linear site-energy gradient, and self-imaging in lattices with commensurate energy levels quadratically varying with the quantum number.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.82.041106](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.041106)

PACS number(s): 72.10.Bg, 42.82.Et, 73.21.La

I. INTRODUCTION

Tight-binding lattice models provide a simple tool to investigate the transport properties of different physical systems, such as electronic transport in semiconductor superlattices or coupled quantum dots, photonic transport in waveguide arrays or coupled optical cavities, and transport of cold atoms in optical lattices. In certain conditions, the dynamics of a wave packet in the lattice turns out to be periodic. Such a special regime is attained, for example, in an infinitely extended periodic lattice with a superimposed dc field, leading to the formation of an equally spaced Wannier-Stark ladder energy spectrum and to the appearance of Bloch oscillations (BOs).^{[1](#page-3-1)} Another important case is that of an infinitely extended periodic lattice with an applied ac field, for which quasienergy band collapse leading to dynamic localization can be found at special ratios between amplitude and frequency of the ac modulation. $2,3$ $2,3$ Such periodic dynamical behaviors realize wave self-imaging, i.e., a periodic reconstruction of the initial wave packet distribution. Self-imaging phenomena in tight-binding lattices have been observed in different physical systems (see, for instance, Refs. $4-8$ $4-8$). Even in an infinite periodic lattice without any external applied field periodic self-imaging can be observed for special periodic initial wave distributions owing to the discrete analog of the Talbot effect.⁹ Unfortunately, lattice truncation, defects, and edge effects generally destroy the periodic wave packet dynamics because of incommensurate frequencies of the eigenstates. As a consequence, the system never revives fully to its initial state, and phenomena such as wave packet collapse and revivals are generally found[.10](#page-3-7) Recently, a few examples of lattice engineering that enables to restore exact periodic wave packet dynamics have been proposed, $11-15$ $11-15$ including harmonic oscillations in a finite lattice with engineered hopping rates $11,12$ $11,12$ and Bloch oscillations on a semi-infinite lattice with linearly increasing hopping rates.¹⁴ In this Rapid Communication we introduce a more general class of truncated tight-binding lattices, not necessarily Hermitian, that sustain a periodic dynamics of the wave packet and that include the Hermitian lattices of Refs. [11,](#page-3-8) [12,](#page-3-10) and [14](#page-3-11) as special cases. In particular, we prove the existence of Bloch oscillations on engineered finite lattices, either Hermitian or non-Hermitian, and show selfimaging phenomena in a novel class of finite lattices with commensurate energy levels that depend quadratically on the quantum number.

II. LATTICE SYNTHESIS

Let us consider a tight-binding one-dimensional lattice with site energies V_n and hopping amplitude κ_n between adjacent sites $|n\rangle$ and $|n+1\rangle$. In the nearest-neighboring approximation, indicating by $\psi(n)$ the occupation amplitude at lattice site $|n\rangle$, the energies E of the lattice Hamiltonian H are found as eigenvalues of the second-order difference equation,

$$
\mathcal{H}\psi(n) \equiv \kappa_{n-1}\psi(n-1) + \kappa_n\psi(n+1) + V_n\psi(n) = E\psi(n). \tag{1}
$$

Lattice truncation is realized by assuming $\kappa_{-1}=0$ for a semiinfinite lattice comprising the sites $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle, \dots$, or $\kappa_{-1} = \kappa_N = 0$ for a finite lattice comprising the $(N+1)$ sites $|0\rangle,|1\rangle,|2\rangle,...,|N\rangle$. Note that the Hamiltonian H is Hermitian provided that V_n and κ_n are real valued, the emergence of complex values for either hopping rates or site energies being the signature of a non-Hermitian lattice. Our aim is to judiciously engineer the hopping amplitudes κ_n and site energies V_n in such a way that the lattice energies E form a set of commensurate numbers, thus ensuring a periodic dynamics of an arbitrary wave packet $\psi(n, t)$ that evolves according to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation $i(\partial \psi / \partial t) = \mathcal{H} \psi$. Examples of such a lattice engineering, corresponding to a set of equally spaced discrete energy levels, have been previously presented in Refs. [11,](#page-3-8) [12,](#page-3-10) and [14.](#page-3-11) Here we show that such previous examples belong to a more general class of lattices with commensurate energies, which can be synthesized using the factorization method, 16 or the so-called supersymmetric quantum mechanics, 17 adapted to "discrete" quantum mechanics and difference equations (see, for in-stance, Ref. [18](#page-3-14)). To this aim, let us assume that the hopping amplitudes κ_n and site energies V_n can be derived from two functions $F_1(n)$ and $F_2(n)$ according to

$$
\kappa_n = \sqrt{F_1(n)F_2(n+1)}, \quad V_n = -[F_1(n) + F_2(n)]. \tag{2}
$$

In this case, the Hamiltonian H can be factorized as H $=\mathcal{AB}$, where¹⁸

$$
\mathcal{A} = \sqrt{F_1(n)} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\right) - \sqrt{F_2(n)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\right),\qquad(3)
$$

$$
B = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\right)\sqrt{F_2(n)} - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial n}\right)\sqrt{F_1(n)}.
$$
 (4)

To realize a finite lattice, with nonvanishing amplitudes $\psi(n)$ at the $(N+1)$ lattice sites $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \ldots, |N\rangle$, we require $F_1(N)=0$ and $F_2(0)=0$. For a semi-infinite lattice, we require $F_2(0)=0$ solely. Let us then indicate by $\psi_0(n)$ the solution to the difference equation $\mathcal{B}\psi_0(n)=0$, i.e., $\sqrt{F_2(n+1)}\psi_0(n+1) = \sqrt{F_1(n)}\psi_0(n)$. It then follows that $\mathcal{H}\psi_0=0$, i.e., $E=0$ is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{H}^{19} \mathcal{H}^{19} \mathcal{H}^{19} . To find the other eigenvalues of H , let us search for a solution to Eq. ([1](#page-0-0)) in the form $\psi(n) = \psi_0(n)Q(n)$; it then readily follows that $Q(n)$ satisfies the second-order difference equation,

$$
F_1(n)Q(n+1) + F_2(n)Q(n-1) = [F_1(n) + F_2(n) + E]Q(n).
$$
\n(5)

Assuming for $F_1(n)$ and $F_2(n)$ a linear or quadratic functions of n , Eq. (5) (5) (5) can be solved in an exact way by assuming for $Q(n)$ a polynomial of degree *M*, i.e., $Q(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{M} a_l n^l$. Substitution of such an expression of $Q(n)$ into Eq. ([5](#page-1-0)) yields the following relation:

$$
\sum_{\rho=0}^{M-1} \left(\sum_{k=\rho+1}^{M} a_k \binom{k}{\rho} [F_1(n) + (-1)^{k-\rho} F_2(n)] \right) n^{\rho} = E \sum_{\rho=0}^{M} a_{\rho} n^{\rho},\tag{6}
$$

which determines the eigenvalues E and the coefficients a_o of the polynomial $Q(n)$ after comparison of the terms of the same power n^{ρ} on the left- and right-hand sides in Eq. ([6](#page-1-1)). In particular, the eigenvalue *E* is found by comparison of the highest-order power terms n^M . It is worth discussing in a separate way the cases of linear and quadratic forms for $F_1(n)$ and $F_2(n)$, which yield a different dependence of the energy eigenvalues on the quantum number *M*.

III. LATTICES WITH EQUALLY SPACED ENERGY LEVELS: BLOCH OSCILLATIONS ON A FINITE LATTICE

Let us consider a finite lattice comprising the sites $n = 0, 1, 2, ..., N$ and assume $F_1(n) = \alpha(n-N)$ and $F_2(n) = \gamma n$, with α and γ arbitrary parameters with $\alpha \neq \gamma$. The hopping amplitudes and site energies of this lattice are thus given by [see Eq. (2) (2) (2)]

$$
\kappa_n = \sqrt{\alpha \gamma (n - N)(n + 1)}, \quad V_n = \alpha N - (\alpha + \gamma)n. \tag{7}
$$

Note that the lattice Hamiltonian is Hermitian for $\alpha \gamma < 0$, whereas it is not Hermitian for $\alpha \gamma > 0$ or for complex values for α and/or γ . Substitution of such expressions of $F_1(n)$ and $F_2(n)$ into Eq. ([6](#page-1-1)) and by comparing the coefficient of the highest-degree term (n^M) , yields the following simple expression for the eigenvalues:

$$
E = M(\alpha - \gamma) \tag{8}
$$

with $M=0,1,2,\ldots,N$. Owing to the equal spacing of energy eigenvalues, the lattice model, Eq. (7) (7) (7) , yields a periodic temporal dynamics with period $T=2\pi/|\alpha-\gamma|$. Note that, for $\alpha = -\gamma$ real valued, this lattice model corresponds to the one previously introduced in Refs. [11](#page-3-8) and [12,](#page-3-10) i.e., to uniform site

STEFANO LONGHI **PHYSICAL REVIEW B 82**, 041106(R) (2010)

FIG. 1. (Color online) Exact Bloch oscillations in an Hermitian truncated lattice. (a) Hopping amplitudes κ_n and (b) lattice site energies V_n as given by Eq. ([7](#page-1-2)) for $N=15$, $\alpha=1$, and $\gamma=-0.2$. (c) Numerically computed temporal evolution of the site occupation probabilities $|\psi(n, t)|^2$ in the (n, t) plane for an input Gaussian wave occupation amplitudes $\psi(n,0) = \exp\{-[(n-N/4)/4]^2\}$. The inset in (c) shows the detailed temporal evolution of the occupation probability $|\psi(0,t)|^2$ of the left-edge $(n=0)$ lattice site.

energies V_n and to an optimal coupling of adjacent lattice sites. Interestingly, for real values of α and γ but with $\alpha \neq -\gamma$ and $\alpha \gamma \leq 0$, a linear gradient of site energies V_n occurs, and the lattice model, Eq. (7) (7) (7) , thus sustains exact BOs on a finite lattice. Figure [1](#page-1-3) shows, as an example, a typical behavior of hopping amplitudes and site energies for such an Hermitian lattice, together with the characteristic BOs behavior for an initial Gaussian wave packet as obtained by numerical simulations of the Schrödinger equation $i(\partial \psi/\partial t) = \mathcal{H}\psi$. To show that exact wave packet reconstruction is insensitive to edge effects, in the example of Fig. [1](#page-1-3) wave packet excitation was intentionally chosen close to one edge of the lattice, however periodic wave packet reconstruction is observed for *any* initial wave packet, regardless of its shape or position in the lattice. It should be also noticed that periodic wave packet reconstruction does not mean nor imply shape invariance of the wave packet evolution.

Remarkably, a periodic quantum evolution, associated to the existence of equally spaced real-valued energies, is found even for non-Hermitian lattices by taking $\alpha = \sigma + i\rho$ and $\gamma = -\sigma + i\rho$, where ρ and σ are arbitrary real-valued and nonvanishing constants. In this case, according to Eq. (7) (7) (7) the hopping amplitudes κ_n turn out to be real valued $\left[\kappa_n = \sqrt{\left(\rho^2 + \sigma^2\right)(N-n)(n+1)}\right]$, whereas the site energies V_n are complex valued and linearly varying with the index $n \left[V_n = (\sigma + i\rho)N - 2i\rho n \right]$, i.e., the linear gradient of site energies is now *imaginary* rather than real valued as in ordinary BO lattice models. Physically, such a new class of non-

FIG. 2. (Color online) Bloch oscillations in a non-Hermitian truncated lattice. (a) Coupling amplitudes κ_n and (b) lattice site energies V_n (real and imaginary parts) as given by Eq. ([7](#page-1-2)) for $N= 15$, $\alpha=1+0.2i$, and $\gamma=-1+0.2i$. (c) Numerically computed temporal evolution of the site occupation probabilities $|\psi(n, t)|^2$ in the (n,t) plane for an input Gaussian wave occupation amplitudes $\psi(n,0) = \exp\{-[(n-N/4)/4]^2\}$. (d) Detailed temporal evolution of the occupation probability $|\psi(0, t)|^2$ of the left-edge $(n=0)$ lattice site. (e) Behavior of total occupation probability $P(t) = \sum_{n} |\psi(n, t)|^2$.

Hermitian lattices could be realized by a sequence of $(N+1)$ active optical waveguides with a judicious engineering of waveguide spacing (to tune the hopping rates κ_n) and with a controlled gain/loss coefficient V_n (see, for instance, Ref. [20](#page-3-16)). In spite of non-Hermiticity, the energy levels are real valued and equally spaced like in the Hermitian lattice model. However, the kind of periodic wave packet evolution in the such a non-Hermitian lattice is very distinct from or-dinary BOs found in Hermitian lattices (see, e.g., Ref. [5](#page-3-17)). In particular, oscillations of the total occupation probability $P(t) = \sum_{n} |\psi(n, t)|^2$ are found as a result of the nonconservation of the norm, like in other non-Hermitian crystals (see, for instance, Ref. 21). As an example, Fig. 2 shows the behavior of κ_n and V_n for a non-Hermitian lattice defined by Eq. ([7](#page-1-2)), together with a typical temporal evolution of an initial Gaussian wave packet, showing a characteristic oscillation of the total occupation probability.

As a final comment, it is worth mentioning that BOs on a semi-infinite Hermitian lattice with linearly increasing hoping amplitudes, recently predicted in Ref. [14,](#page-3-11) can be found by assuming $F_1(n) = \alpha(n+1)$ and $F_2(n) = \gamma n$, with $\alpha \gamma > 0$ (to ensure lattice Hermiticity) and $|\alpha| \le |\gamma|$ [to ensure boundness of $\psi_0(n)$ as $n \to +\infty$ (see Ref. [19](#page-3-15))]. In this case one obtains $\kappa_n = \frac{J(n+1)}{n}$ and $V_n = -fn - \alpha$ $(n=0,1,2,3,...)$, where $J=\sqrt{\alpha\gamma}$ and $f=(\alpha+\gamma)$ are the hopping rate and site energy gradients, respectively. Note that the condition $|f| \ge 2J$ is always satisfied, i.e., the existence of a Wannier-Stark energy level spectrum requires a minimum value of the dc force $|f|$. As discussed in Ref. [14,](#page-3-11) such a minimum value $|f|_m = 2J$ of

FIG. 3. (Color online) Self-imaging in an Hermitian lattice with commensurate energy levels that depend quadratically on the quan-tum number [Eqs. ([9](#page-2-3)) and ([10](#page-2-4))]. Parameter values are $N=-\alpha=10$ and $\gamma = 0$. (a) Behavior of κ_n . (b) Behavior of V_n . (c) Numerically computed temporal evolution of the site occupation probability $|\psi(0,t)|^2$ of the left-edge $(n=0)$ lattice site for initial single-site excitation $\psi(n,0) = \delta_{n,0}$.

the dc force corresponds to the existence of a metal-insulator transition in this lattice model.

IV. SELF-IMAGING IN LATTICES WITH COMMENSURATE ENERGY LEVELS QUADRATICALLY VARYING WITH THE QUANTUM NUMBER

As a second class of lattices showing self-imaging phenomena, let us consider a finite lattice comprising the sites $n=0,1,2,...,N$ and assume $F_1(n) = (n-N)(n+\alpha)$ and $F_2(n) = n(n + \gamma)$, where α and γ are real-valued parameters. The hopping amplitudes and site energies of this lattice are thus given by [see Eq. (2) (2) (2)]

$$
\kappa_n = \sqrt{(n+\alpha)(n-N)(n+1)(n+\gamma+1)},\tag{9}
$$

$$
V_n = -[2n^2 + (\alpha + \gamma - N)n - \alpha N].
$$
 (10)

Substitution of such expressions of $F_1(n)$ and $F_2(n)$ into Eq. ([6](#page-1-1)) and by comparing the coefficient of the highest degree term $({\sim}n^M)$ in the power expansion, one obtains the eigenvalues

$$
E = M^2 - M(N + 1 + \gamma - \alpha), \quad M = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (11)
$$

i.e., the energy eigenvalues are described by a quadratic function of the quantum number *M*. Provided that $(N+1+\gamma-\alpha)$ is a rational number, i.e., $(N+1+\gamma-\alpha)=r_1/r_2$ with r_1 and r_2 integer numbers, the energy levels are commensurate numbers and the wave packet dynamics turns out to [b](#page-2-1)e periodic. As an example, Figs. $3(a)$ $3(a)$ and $3(b)$ show a typical behavior of κ_n and V_n in an Hermitian lattice for parameter values $N=10$, $\alpha=-N$, and $\gamma=0$, which yield $E = M^2 - 21M$ according to Eq. ([11](#page-2-2)). Periodic quantum evolution is thus expected at the period $T = \pi$. Self-imaging is demonstrated, as an example, in Fig. $3(c)$ $3(c)$, where the behav-

STEFANO LONGHI **PHYSICAL REVIEW B 82**, 041106(R) (2010)

ior of the site occupation probability $|\psi(n=0,t)|^2$ at the lattice edge $n=0$ versus time t for initial single-site excitation $\psi(n,0) = \delta_{n,0}$ is depicted.

V. CONCLUSIONS

A novel class of truncated tight-binding lattices that sustain a periodic quantum evolution of the wave packet has been presented. In particular, we have shown the existence of Bloch oscillations in Hermitian truncated lattices, Bloch oscillations in non-Hermitian lattices with an imaginary site energy gradient, and discussed self-imaging phenomena in finite lattices with commensurate energy levels that depend quadratically on the quantum number. Photonic waveguide

arrays, realized, for instance, by femtosecond laser writing in fused silica,⁷ could provide a possible experimental setup to realize the Hermitian lattice models discussed in this Rapid Communication (Figs. 1 and 3). In such arrayed structures, simultaneous engineering of coupling rates κ_n and site energies V_n can be achieved by appropriate design of distances between adjacent waveguides (which determine the values of κ_n) and choice of waveguide writing speed (which determines the values of V_n).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author acknowledges financial support by the Italian MIUR (Grant No. PRIN-2008-YCAAK).

*longhi@fisi.polimi.it

- $¹$ See, for instance, M. Glück, A. R. Kolovsky, and H. J. Korsch,</sup> [Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(02)00142-4) 366, 103 (2002).
- ²D. H. Dunlap and V. M. Kenkre, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.34.3625)* **34**, 3625 (1986).
- ³M. Holthaus, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.351)* **69**, 351 (1992).
- ⁴M. Ben Dahan, E. Peik, J. Reichel, Y. Castin, and C. Salomon, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.4508) **76**, 4508 (1996); S. R. Wilkinson, C. F. Bharucha, K. W. Madison, Q. Niu, and M. G. Raizen, *[ibid.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.4512)* **76**, [4512](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.4512) (1996); H. Sanchis-Alepuz, Y. A. Kosevich, and J. Sanchez-Dehesa, *ibid.* 98[, 134301](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.134301) (2007).
- 5R. Morandotti, U. Peschel, J. S. Aitchison, H. S. Eisenberg, and Y. Silberberg, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4756)* 83, 4756 (1999); T. Pertsch, P. Dannberg, W. Elflein, A. Bräuer, and F. Lederer, *ibid.* **83**[, 4752](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4752) ([1999](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4752)); R. Sapienza, P. Costantino, D. Wiersma, M. Ghulinyan, C. J. Oton, and L. Pavesi, *ibid.* **91**[, 263902](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.263902) (2003); H. Trompeter, T. Pertsch, F. Lederer, D. Michaelis, U. Streppel, A. Bräuer, and U. Peschel, *ibid.* **96**[, 023901](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.023901) (2006); N. Chiodo, G. Della Valle, R. Osellame, S. Longhi, G. Cerullo, R. Ramponi, P. Laporta, and U. Morgner, [Opt. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.31.001651) 31, 1651 (2006).
- 6S. Longhi, M. Marangoni, M. Lobino, R. Ramponi, P. Laporta, E. Cianci, and V. Foglietti, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.243901)* **96**, 243901 (2006); S. Longhi, M. Lobino, M. Marangoni, R. Ramponi, P. Laporta, E. Cianci, and V. Foglietti, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.155116)* 74, 155116 (2006); R. Iyer, J. S. Aitchison, J. Wan, M. M. Dignam, and C. M. de Sterke, [Opt. Express](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.003212) 15, 3212 (2007).
- 7F. Dreisow, M. Heinrich, A. Szameit, S. Doering, S. Nolte, A. Tünnermann, S. Fahr, and F. Lederer, [Opt. Express](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OE.16.003474) **16**, 3474 ([2008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OE.16.003474)); A. Szameit, I. L. Garanovich, M. Heinrich, A. A. Sukhorukov, F. Dreisow, T. Pertsch, S. Nolte, A. Tünnermann, and Yu. S. Kivshar, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1221) 5, 271 (2009).
- 8H. Lignier, C. Sias, D. Ciampini, Y. Singh, A. Zenesini, O.

Morsch, and E. Arimondo, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.220403)* **99**, 220403 (2007); A. Eckardt, M. Holthaus, H. Lignier, A. Zenesini, D. Ciampini,

- O. Morsch, and E. Arimondo, *[Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.013611)* **79**, 013611 (2009).
- 9R. Iwanow, D. A. May-Arrioja, D. N. Christodoulides, G. I. Stegeman, Y. Min, and W. Sohler, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.053902) **95**, 053902 $(2005).$ $(2005).$ $(2005).$
- ¹⁰ S. Longhi, *[Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.035802)* 77, 035802 (2008).
- ¹¹G. M. Nikolopoulos, D. Petrosyan, and P. Lambropoulos, [Euro](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2003-10100-9)[phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2003-10100-9) **65**, 297 (2004); [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/16/28/019) 16, 4991 $(2004).$ $(2004).$ $(2004).$
- ¹² R. Gordon, [Opt. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.29.002752) **29**, 2752 (2004).
- ¹³ S. Longhi, [Opt. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.33.000473) **33**, 473 (2008); A. Szameit, F. Dreisow, M. Heinrich, T. Pertsch, S. Nolte, A. Tünnermann, E. Suran, F. Louradour, A. Barthelemy, and S. Longhi, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2999624) **93**, [181109](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2999624) (2008).
- ¹⁴ S. Longhi, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.033106)* **80**, 033106 (2009).
- ¹⁵ S. Longhi, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.195118)* **81**, 195118 (2010).
- ¹⁶L. Infeld and T. E. Hull, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.23.21) **23**, 21 (1951).
- 17See, for example, the review: F. Cooper, A. Khare, and U. Sukhatme, *[Phys. Rep.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(94)00080-M)* 251, 267 (1995).
- ¹⁸ S. Odake and R. Sasaki, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTP.122.1067) **122**, 1067 (2009).
- ¹⁹For a semi-infinite lattice, where $n=0,1,2,3,..., E=0$ is an eigenvalue of H provided that $\psi_0(n)$ is bounded as $n \to \infty$, which implies a constrain on the asymptotic behavior of $F_1(n)$ and $F_2(n)$ as $n \to \infty$. Conversely, for a finite lattice with $F_1(N)=0$ and $F_2(0)=0$, $E=0$ is always an eigenvalue of H .
- ²⁰C. E. Rüter, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, M. Segev, and D. Kip, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1515) **6**, 192 (2010).
- 21K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.103904)* 100, 103904 (2008).